

# Indestructibility and the First Two Strongly Compact Cardinals

Arthur W. Apter  
CUNY

(Baruch College and the Graduate Center)

CUNY Set Theory Seminar  
April 24, 2020

I will begin by stating the following well-known result due to Richard Laver.

**Theorem 1** *Suppose  $V \models \text{“ZFC} + \kappa \text{ is supercompact”}$ . There is then a partial ordering  $\mathbb{P} \in V$  such that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \text{“}\kappa \text{ is supercompact and has its supercompactness indestructible under } \kappa\text{-directed closed forcing”}$ .*

In other words, Theorem 1 says that if  $\mathbb{Q} \in V^{\mathbb{P}}$  is such that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \text{“}\mathbb{Q} \text{ is } \kappa\text{-directed closed”}$  (i.e., every directed subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of size less than  $\kappa$  has a lower bound), then  $V^{\mathbb{P} * \dot{\mathbb{Q}}} \models \text{“}\kappa \text{ is supercompact”}$ .

One may wonder if there are analogous results when  $\kappa$  is a non-supercompact strongly compact cardinal. In particular, are there indestructibility theorems when  $\kappa$  is both the least strongly compact and least measurable cardinal (a situation first shown to be possible by Magidor)?

The answer to this question is yes. Specifically, we have the following (where for the duration of the lecture, the terminology to be used is that  $\kappa$  has its strong compactness indestructible under a particular type of partial ordering if after forcing with that type of partial ordering,  $\kappa$  remains strongly compact):

## **Theorem 2 (A.-Gitik '98)**

*Suppose  $V \models \text{“ZFC} + \text{GCH} + \kappa \text{ is supercompact”}$ . There is then a partial ordering  $\mathbb{P} \in V$  such that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \text{“}\kappa \text{ is both the least strongly compact and least measurable cardinal and has its strong compactness indestructible under } \kappa\text{-directed closed forcing”}$ .*

Because the partial ordering  $\mathbb{P}$  used in the proof of Theorem 2 is an Easton support Prikry iteration as first developed by Gitik, iterating a suitably defined version of  $\mathbb{P}$  above above a

strongly compact cardinal  $\lambda$  will destroy  $\lambda$ 's strong compactness. However, by iterating strategically closed forcing, Sargsyan was able to establish:

### **Theorem 3 (Sargsyan '09)**

*Suppose  $V \models$  “ZFC + GCH +  $\kappa$  is supercompact + No cardinal  $\lambda > \kappa$  is measurable”.*  
*There is then a partial ordering  $\mathbb{P} \in V$  such that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models$  “ $\kappa$  is both the least strongly compact and least measurable cardinal and has its strong compactness indestructible under any  $\kappa$ -directed closed partial ordering preserving  $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$ ”.*

It is now possible to combine Theorems 2 and 3 to obtain:

**Theorem 4** *Suppose  $V \models \text{“ZFC} + \text{GCH} + \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 \text{ are both supercompact} + \text{No cardinal } \lambda > \kappa_2 \text{ is measurable”}$ . There is then a partial ordering  $\mathbb{P} \in V$  such that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \text{“}\kappa_1 \text{ and } \kappa_2 \text{ are both the first two strongly compact and first two measurable cardinals} + \kappa_1 \text{'s strong compactness is indestructible under } \kappa_1\text{-directed closed forcing} + \kappa_2 \text{'s strong compactness is indestructible under any } \kappa_2\text{-directed closed partial ordering preserving } 2^{\kappa_2} = \kappa_2^+ \text{”}$ .*

To prove Theorem 4, let  $V$  be as in the hypotheses. First force with the partial ordering used in the proof of Theorem 2 defined with respect to  $\kappa_1$  and then force with the partial ordering used in the proof of Theorem 3 defined with respect to  $\kappa_2$ . Since the partial ordering used in the proof of Theorem 3 may be defined so as to be  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed, this provides us with the desired model.  $\square$

Because of the nature of Sargsyan's partial ordering, the indestructibility forced for  $\kappa_2$  in Theorem 3 requires that GCH at  $\kappa_2$  be preserved. This led him to ask the following

Question: Is it possible to obtain a model of ZFC in which the first two strongly compact cardinals  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are also the first two measurable cardinals and  $\kappa_2$ 's strong compactness is indestructible under  $\text{Add}(\kappa_2, \kappa_2^{++})$  (where as usual,  $\text{Add}(\kappa_2, \delta)$  for  $\delta$  an ordinal is the standard poset for adding  $\delta$  many Cohen subsets of  $\kappa_2$ )?

The answer to a generalized version of this Question is yes and forms the basis for the remainder of my lecture. Specifically, we have the following:

**Theorem 5** *Suppose  $V \models \text{“ZFC} + \text{GCH} + \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 \text{ are both supercompact} + \text{No cardinal } \lambda > \kappa_2 \text{ is measurable”}$ . There is then a partial ordering  $\mathbb{P} \in V$  such that  $V^{\mathbb{P}} \models \text{“}\kappa_1 \text{ and } \kappa_2 \text{ are both the first two strongly compact and first two measurable cardinals} + \kappa_1\text{'s strong compactness is indestructible under } \kappa_1\text{-directed closed forcing} + \kappa_2\text{'s strong compactness is indestructible under } \text{Add}(\kappa_2, \delta) \text{ for any ordinal } \delta\text{”}$ .*

To prove Theorem 5, let  $V$  be as in the hypotheses. We may now divide the proof into the following four modules.

Module 1: Force over  $V$  with the partial ordering used in the proof of Theorem 2 defined with respect to  $\kappa_1$ . Call the resulting model  $V_1$ . Since this partial ordering may be defined so as to have cardinality  $\kappa_1$ ,  $V_1 \models$  “ $\kappa_1$  is both the least strongly compact and least measurable cardinal + GCH holds at and above  $\kappa_1$  +  $\kappa_1$ 's strong compactness is indestructible under  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed forcing +  $\kappa_2$  is supercompact + No cardinal  $\lambda > \kappa_2$  is measurable”.



Module 2: Force over  $V_1$  with the Easton support iteration of length  $\kappa_2$  which adds, to each measurable cardinal in the open interval  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$ , a non-reflecting stationary set of ordinals of cofinality  $\kappa_1$ . Call the resulting model  $V_2$ . By its definition, this partial ordering is  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed. Further, by an argument due to Magidor,  $V_2 \models$  “ $\kappa_2$  is strongly compact and is the least measurable cardinal greater than  $\kappa_1$ ”. It therefore follows that  $V_2 \models$  “ $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are both the first two strongly compact and first two measurable cardinals +  $\kappa_1$ 's strong compactness is indestructible under  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed forcing”.

Module 3: Force over  $V_2$  using Woodin's notion of fast function forcing to add a fast function  $f$  for  $\kappa_2$ . (Fast function forcing is defined as  $\{p \mid p : \kappa_2 \rightarrow \kappa_2 \text{ is a function such that } \text{dom}(p) \text{ consists of inaccessible cardinals in the open interval } (\kappa_1, \kappa_2), |p \upharpoonright \lambda| < \lambda \text{ for every inaccessible cardinal } \lambda \leq \kappa_2, \text{ and for every } \delta \in \text{dom}(p), p''\delta \subseteq \delta\}$ , ordered by inclusion.) Call the resulting model  $V_3$ . Since as just defined, fast function forcing is  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed,  $V_3 \models$  " $\kappa_1$  is both the least strongly compact and least measurable cardinal  $\dagger$   $\kappa_1$ 's strong compactness is indestructible under  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed forcing". Arguments due to Hamkins also show that  $V_3 \models$  " $\kappa_2$  is both the second strongly compact and second measurable cardinal".

Module 4: First, recall that for  $\mathcal{A}$  a collection of partial orderings, the *lottery sum*  $\oplus \mathcal{A} = \{\langle \mathbb{P}, p \rangle \mid \mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } p \in \mathbb{P}\} \cup \{1\}$ , ordered with 1 above everything and  $\langle \mathbb{P}, p \rangle \leq \langle \mathbb{P}', p' \rangle$  iff  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}'$  and  $p \leq p'$ . (Intuitively, the generic object over the lottery sum  $\oplus \mathcal{A}$  first selects a poset in  $\mathcal{A}$  and then forces with it.) Keeping this in mind, force over  $V_3$  with the Easton support iteration of length  $\kappa_2$  which, if  $\alpha \in (\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$  is an inaccessible cardinal such that  $f''\alpha \subseteq \alpha$ , forces with  $\bigoplus_{\beta < f(\alpha)} \text{Add}(\alpha, \beta)$ . Call the resulting model  $V_4$ . Because this partial ordering is  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed,  $V_4 \models$  “ $\kappa_1$  is both the least strongly compact and least measurable cardinal  $\dagger$   $\kappa_1$ 's strong compactness is indestructible under  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed forcing”. By arguments due to Hamkins,  $V_4 \models$  “No cardinal in the open interval  $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$  is measurable”. By arguments due to Usuba,  $V_4 \models$  “ $\kappa_2$  is strongly compact (and hence is the second measurable cardinal) and has its strong compactness indestructible under forcing with  $\text{Add}(\kappa_2, \delta)$  for any ordinal  $\delta$ ”.

$V_4$  is thus the desired model in which the first two strongly compact cardinals  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$  are also the first two measurable cardinals,  $\kappa_1$ 's strong compactness is indestructible under  $\kappa_1$ -directed closed forcing, and  $\kappa_2$ 's strong compactness is indestructible under forcing with  $\text{Add}(\kappa_2, \delta)$  for any ordinal  $\delta$ .  $\square$

Note that the key to the proof of Theorem 5 is the use of Usuba's arguments referred to in Module 4.

I will conclude by asking whether Theorem 5 can be improved to have  $\kappa_2$ 's strong compactness indestructible under arbitrary  $\kappa_2$ -directed closed forcing. In general, can the first  $i$  strongly compact cardinals  $\kappa_i$  (for  $i$  some ordinal) all be the first  $i$  measurable cardinals and have their strong compactness indestructible under arbitrary  $\kappa_i$ -directed closed forcing?

Thank you all very much for your attention!